**MerinoLink Limited Sire Evaluation** 

# Within Flock Analysis Site Report

# 2015 Drop

Yearling, Hogget & Adult Assessments

Conducted by



under the auspices of

The Australian Merino Sire Evaluation Association



13<sup>th</sup> June 2017



# Wool · Merchandise · Livestock

Temora 02 6977 3100

Narrandera 02 6959 1209 West Wyalong 02 6972 4162

Young 02 6382 5340 Condobolin 02 6895 2851 Wagga Wagga 02 6922 7077

www.mosesandson.com.au enquiry@mosesandson.com.au

OSES & SO

# TOTALLY INTEGRATED APPROACH TO SHEEP Innovative Hool Marketing, Traditional AND WOOL PRODUCTION AND MARKETING

• Our aim is to increase the profitability of our clients.


5.32 FEL EL FEL FIEL

- Six strategically placed wool stores ensure you receive personalised service from your local wool team.
- Your money stays in the area, supporting our local towns and communities.
- On farm fleece weighing service and advice

n.com a

• Our name is our reputation, since 1920 we have been marketers to the wool industry.





#### **Bluechip Livestock offers:**

- Access to unique genetic performance data, exclusive to Bluechip Livestock.
- Predictability of different bloodlines' performance.
- The ability to increase your income through high performance sheep, without increasing your current costs.
- Integrity of people and product.

Call Simon Coddington on 0458 826 464

YOUNG

SIRE PRO



#### Acknowledgements

Dean Bourlet, Wynwood, Jugiong Mandy Bourlet, Wynwood, Jugiong Adele Offley, Moses & Son, Young Simon Coddington, Moses & Son, Young Craig Wilson, Craig Wilson & Associates, Wagga Jess Smith, Sally Martin Consulting, Young Mick Corkhill, Grassy Creek Merino Stud, Reids Flat Ben Patrick, Yarrawonga Merino Stud, Harden Lexi Cesnik, Sally Martin Consulting, Young Rachael Gawne, Sally Martin Consulting

#### Disclaimer

Australian Merino Sire Evaluation Association Incorporated (AMSEA) is funded by Australian Wool Innovation Limited (AWI) which gratefully acknowledges the funds provided by the Australian Government to support research, development and marketing of Australian wool. AMSEA sponsors, woolgrower entry fees and site committee in-kind contributions also contribute to AMSEA funding. This publication should only be used as a general aid and is not a substitute for specific advice. To the extent permitted by law, AWI and AMSEA exclude all liability for loss or damage arising from the use of the information in this publication. © 2017 Australian Wool Innovation Limited and Australian Merino Sire Evaluation Association Incorporated. All rights reserved.

The Australian Merino Sire Evaluation Association has approved the format used in this report. Australian Flock Breeding Values reported here are based on analysis conducted by Animal Genetic Breeding Unit (AGBU), Armidale.

#### Foreword

# **MerinoLink Limited - Central Test Sire Evaluation**

MerinoLink Limited run the sire evaluation site located on the South West Slopes following the success of the South West Slopes Merino Breeders (2003, 2005 and 2008) and Bluechip Livestock (2011 x 2 and 2012) sire evaluations and young sire programs.

The MerinoLink Sire Evaluation site is an accredited Central Test Sire Evaluation (CSTE) site. It conforms to the requirement of the Australian merino Sire Evaluation Association (AMSEA).

We would like to thank and acknowledge the dedication of Dean and Mandy Bourlet for hosting the sire evaluation. Your enthusiasm and commitment to Merino breeding is appreciated and infectious.

The classing for the first and second visual assessments was conducted by Mr Mick Corkhill, Grassy Creek Merino Stud and Ben Patrick, Yarrawonga Merino Stud. We would like to fully acknowledge both Mick's and Ben's professional contribution to the visual assessments.

The 17 Merino sires being evaluated includes a historical sire, 1989 drop, as part of the AMSEA Historical Sire Program (funded by Australian Site Contacts

Wool Innovation). The Historical Sire program aims to evaluate sires that have been previously entered in sire evaluation sites between 15 and 20 years ago. The progeny will be fully evaluated alongside the current industry sires.

Whilst providing a very interesting comparison between leading sire of the 80's and 90's with those of today, the main purpose of the Historical Sire Program is to provide further validation and confidence in the system of linkage that is used by MERINOSELECT to directly compare animals across drops.

Results from the Historical Sire program will be published by AMSEA at the conclusion of the program in addition to individual sire performance results for historical sires being published in the MerinoLink Sire Evaluation Site Reports and the annual Merino Superior Sires.

We trust that everyone has achieved something out of this program and we look forward to providing leading genetic evaluation tools into the future.

#### Sally Martin, MerinoLink CEO

Name	Phone	Role
Dean Bourlet	0429 866 258	Host Property
Craig Wilson	0428 250 982	MerinoLink Board Director (Service Provider)
Sally Martin	0400 782 477	Site Coordinator; Data Management; Reporting; MerinoLink Board Director (Service Provider); MerinoLink CEO
Richard Keniry	0427 878 541	MerinoLink Board Director (Commercial Breeder)
Marty Moses	0417 691 308	MerinoLink Board Director (Service Provider)
Carol Huggins	0429 934 616	MerinoLink Board Director (Ram Breeder)
Steve Jarvis	0427 853 528	MerinoLink Board Director (Commercial Breeder)
Robert Mortimer	02-6892 8259	MerinoLink Board Director (Ram Breeder)
Mal Peake	0408 426 103	MerinoLink Board Director (Ram Breeder)
Rick Baldwin	0429 833 837	MerinoLink Board Director (Ram Breeder)
David Davidson	0429 847 345	MerinoLink Board Director (Commercial Breeder)

#### For further information on this report please contact:

Sally Martin, Sally Martin Consulting; 288 Maimuru Road, YOUNG NSW 2594; Mobile: 0400 782 477 Email: <u>sallymartin777@gmail.com</u>

#### **Report authors:**

Sally Martin<sup>1</sup> and Andrew Swan<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Sally Martin Consulting, 288 Maimuru Road, YOUNG NSW 2594
- <sup>2</sup> AGBU, UNE, Armidale, NSW 2351

#### Date of publication: 13 June, 2017

# 2015 Drop, Yearling, Hogget & Adult Assessment, MerinoLink Limited Sire Evaluation

The information in this site evaluation report provides a comprehensive assessment of the 2015 drop at Yearling, Hogget and Adult assessments of the sire's progeny performance, both measured and visually assessed traits. The information reported is based on a within flock analysis of the sire progeny being evaluated.

The Yearling Assessment was carried out at 10 months of age with 10 months of wool growth, the Hogget Assessment was carried out at 16 months of age and 6 months' wool growth and the Adult Assessment was carried out at 22 months of age with 12 months' wool growth.

		Contents	
I			Page
-	-		
	-	ement program	
Visual trait a	ssessment	and site Breeding Objective	7
Summary Re	sults		
	Index opti	ons	
	Table 1:	Index values and Classer's Grades	9
	Figure 1a:	Combined measured and visual trait performance (DPP)	11
	Figure 1b:	Combined measured and visual trait performance (MPP)	11
	Figure 1c:	Combined measured and visual trait performance (FPP)	11
	Figure 1d:	Combined measured and visual trait performance (WPP)	12
	Figure 2:	Fleece Weight and Fibre Diameter	13
	Figure 3:	Classer's Grade: Tops and Culls	14
	Figure 4:	Fleece Weight and Body Weight	15
	Figure 5:	Fleece Weight and Fat Depth	16
	Figure 6:	Fleece Weight and Eye Muscle Depth	16
	Figure 7:	Body Weight and Eye Muscle Depth	16
Detailed Res	ults		
	Understar	nding the results – Measured trait performance	17
	Table 2:	Major measured trait and Classer's Grade performance	18
	Table 3:	Other measured trait breeding values	19
	Understar	nding the results – Scored trait performance	20
	Table 4a:	Wool quality	21
	Table 4b:	Wool quality & Pigmentation	22
	Table 4c:	Conformation	23
	Table 4d:	Breech	24
	Other ass	essment results	
	Table 5:	Sire averages for measured traits	25
	Understar	nding the results – Information to assist the use of results	
	Accuracy of	of Flock Breeding Values (FBVs)	26
	Link Sires		26
	Calculation	n of combined information	26

#### Sire and owner details

Sire	Breeders flock, Sire	Contact name, address
code	number Sire ID <sup>#</sup> , Breed <sup>†</sup>	Phone, Fax, Email
1* (Link)	Billandri Poll, 121391 600571-2012-121391 Poll Merino	Bill and Geoff Sandiland, Billandri, Kendenup WA 6323 P: (08) 9851 4030 F: (08) 9851 4264 E: <u>csandilands@bordernet.com.au</u>
2	Bundilla, 120013 504081-2012-120013 Poll Merino	Rick Baldwin, Bundilla, 706 Tubbul Road, Young NSW 2594 P: (02) 6383 3802 F: (02) 6383 3837 E: <u>bundillamerinos@bigpond.com</u>
3	Centre Plus Poll, 307564 601250-2013-307564 Poll Merino	Robert Mortimer, Devondale, Tullamore NSW 2874 P: (02) 6892 8259 F: (02) 6892 8292 E: <u>robert@centreplus.com.au</u>
4 <mark>^**</mark> Historic	Centre Plus Poll 000183 601250-1989-000183 Poll Merino	Robert Mortimer, Devondale, Tullamore NSW 2874 P: (02) 6892 8259 F: (02) 6892 8292 E: <u>robert@centreplus.com.au</u>
5	Centre Plus Poll (WA) 337919 609182-2013-337919 Poll Merino	Dave Vanenberghe, PO BOX 11 Scaddan WA 6447 P: (08) 9078 6049 M: 0427 786 049 E: <u>riverlandwest@westnet.com.au</u>
6**	Hazeldean, 003542 500383-2011-003542 Merino	Jim Litchfield, Hazeldean, Cooma NSW 2630 P: (02) 6453 5555 F: (02) 6453 2226 E: <u>litchfield@hazeldean.com.au</u>
7**	Kerin Poll, 130980 601413-2013-130980 Poll Merino	Nigel Kerin, Karuga Park, 1142 Bournewood Road, Yeoval NSW 2868 P: (02)6846 4070 E: <u>kerinag@bigpond.com</u>
8**	Mumblebone, 130389 500063-2013-130389 Merino	Chad Taylor, Marrapana 456 Wuuluman Road, Wellington NSW 2820 P: (02) 6845m 3620 F: (02) 6845 3608 E: <u>chad@mumblebone.com.au</u>
9**	Mumblebone, 130850 500063-2013-130850 Merino	Chad Taylor, Marrapana 456 Wuuluman Road, Wellington NSW 2820 P: (02) 6845m 3620 F: (02) 6845 3608 E: <u>chad@mumblebone.com.au</u>
10	Pastora Poll, 130011 601090-2013-130011 Poll Merino	Tim Westblade, Pastora, Lochart NSW 2656 M: 0429 205 423 E: <u>trwesty@bigpond.com</u>
11	Pastora Poll, 131634 601090-2013-131634 Poll Merino	Tim Westblade, Pastora, Lochart NSW 2656 M: 0429 205 423 E: <u>trwesty@bigpond.com</u>
12	Pooginook Poll, 130083 601442-2013-130083 Poll Merino	John Sutherland, Paraway Pastoral Company, Jerilderie NSW 2716 P: (02) 6954 6145 F: (02) 6954 6168 E: pooginook@parawaypastoral.com
13	Rocklyn, 130022 501039-2013-130022 Merino	Ralph Diprose, Elon, Cowra Road, Grenfell NSW 2810 P: (02)6343 6331 F: (02) 6343 6331 E: <u>rkdiprose@gmail.com</u>
14**	Roseville Park, 140019 504166-2014-14001 Merino	Matthew Coddington, Glenwood, 39R Dilladerry Rd, Dubbo NSW 2830 P: (02) 6887 7286 F: (02) 6887 7103 E: <u>rpmerinos@bigpond.com</u>
15	Wattle Dale 130115 503358-2013-130115 Merino	Dave Vanenberghe, PO BOX 11 Scaddan WA 6447 P: (08) 9078 6049 M: 0427 786 049 E: <u>riverlandwest@westnet.com.au</u>
16* (Link)	Willandra Poll, 120026 600610-2012-120026 Poll Merino	Ross Wells, Willandra, 477 North Coree Road, Jerilderie NSW 2711 P: (03) 5886 1223 F: (03) 5886 1605 E: <u>rossirene@reachnet.com.au</u>
17	Woodpark Poll, 130431 601151-2013-130431 Poll Merino	Stephen and Carol Huggins, Eurolie, HAY NSW 2711 P: (02) 6993 4616 F: (02) 6993 4122 E: <u>woodparkpoll@bigpond.com</u>

#### **Graph and Table Key**

- \* Link sire: Sire evaluated to provide links between years and sites so that the all site results can be combined into a single report, e.g., *Merino Superior Sires*.
- \*\* Common sires (in addition to Link Sires) between this CTSE site and other sites.
- # Sire ID provides a unique number for all sheep. A sire ID has 16 digits.
  - 2 for the breed of the flock, e.g., Merino (50), Poll Merino (60), Dohne (51), SAMM (48), Afrino (AF)
  - 4 for flock code, AASMB Registered flock code or unregistered code.
  - 4 for year of drop.
  - 6 for tag number used in the breeder's records.

Example 16 digit code:	50	4967-	2009-	090012
	Breed	Flock	Year of drop	On-farm ID

- <sup>+</sup> Breed of flock in which the sire was born.
- A Historical Sire evaluated under AMSEA's R&D project to validate the system of linkage in MERINOSELECT that has operated over the past 15-20 years. These sires were generally widely used 15-20 years ago and were selected for the R&D program based on their high ASBV accuracies.

# YARRAWONGA MERINO & POLL MERINO



On Property ram sale Monday 11th September 2017 250 Rams on offer Cunningham Plains Harden NSW

# Steve and Liz Phillips Phone: 02 6386 3128 Mobile: 0427 863 128

**Ben Patrick** Mobile: 0428 984 699

Semen Sire Yarra 961 (PP) YWT: 6.7 YCFW: 22.9 YFD: -1.0 MP+: 158

E: <u>yarrawongamerino@bigpond.com</u> W: <u>www.yarrawongamerino.com.au</u>

#### Management Report

#### 1. Location



"Wynwood", 3035 Jugiong Road, Jugiong is located 30km from Harden on the Jugiong/Harden Road or 6km from Jugiong.

"Wynwood" is a commercial farming operation operated by Dean and Mandy Bourlet.

#### 2. Selection and mating

- 900 medium framed ewes with free growing soft handling wools and low wrinkle score were selected and classed to be free from visual and conformation faults.
- The ewes were mated by Artificial Insemination to 17 sires.
- The ewes were randomly allocated to each sire.
- The insemination program was conducted on 12<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> February, 2015.
- The insemination program was conducted by Allstock, Dubbo.
- 50 ewes were allocated to each sire entered.

#### 3. Pregnancy and lambing

- Pregnancy scanning took place on 13<sup>th</sup> May 2015.
- Ewes were managed as one contemporary group until 10 days before lambing.
- Adequate pasture and a supplementary feeding program ensured that nutritional requirements were met during all stages of pregnancy.
- Sire groups lambed down in separate paddocks.
- Lambs were tagged (visual and electronic) and weighed within two weeks of lambing and groups brought together and boxed into one contemporary group of ewes and lambs.

#### 4. Weaning and seasonal conditions


- The lambs were marked and visually scored on 4<sup>th</sup> September 2015.
- The lambs were weaned onto improved and native pastures on 23<sup>rd</sup> October, 2015.

#### 5. Visual Assessments

• The 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> stage visual assessment was carried out by Mick Corkhill and Ben Patrick.

					1 50000	,	
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Median
Jan	30.2	7.0	16.0	55.6	92.2	33.2	28.1
Feb	168.6	42.6	35.0	30.6	21.6	33.2	56.6
Mar	162.6	60.6	132.8	5.2	27.8	56.2	56.2
Apr	15.2	12.2	50.0	72.8	21.6	35.2	48.6
May	37.0	28.0	37.6	39.6	111.2	52.8	40.6
Jun	56.6	108.8	67.4	37.0	143.0		44.6
Jul	62.4	58.2	41.4	84.2	116.6		52.1
Aug	45.2	36.8	26.0	67.8	57.0		37.1
Sep	35.8	27.8	26.2	11.0	216.2		28.1
Oct	14.0	20.0	22.6	7.6	88.4		31.7
Nov	41.6	26.8	16.2	145.2	35.6		27.8
Dec	43.0	16.0	55.0	35.2	59.4		22.1
Totals	712.2	444.8	526.2	526.2	990.6	210.6	614.5





\*Source: BOM - Median 1995-2017.

Activity	Date/s	<b>Age</b> (months)	<b>Wool</b> (months
Selection of ewes & allocation of ewes for mating	07.01.2015		
Artificial Insemination	11.02.2015		
	12.02.2015		
Pregnancy scanning	13.05.2015		
Separated into sire lambing groups	30.07.2015		
Lambing: start – finish	08 to 15.07.2015		
Lambing mobs boxed to 1 management group	01.08.2015	14-21 days	
Tagging/pigment scores (age in days)	01.08.2015	14-21 days	
Marked and scored for breech traits	04.09.2015	55 days	
Weaning (age in days)	23.10.2015	104 days	
Pre-assessment (even-up) shearing	NA	104 0035	
Crutching	INA.		
Post Weaning (PW)	02.02.2015	6.5	6.5
Fat and eye muscle scanning			
<ul> <li>Hogget (H)</li> </ul>	18.10.2016	16	
Fleece sampling assessment			
• Yearling (Y)	26.04.2016	10	10
• Adult (A)	05.05.2017	22	12
Staple length assessment			
• Yearling (Y)	26.04.2016	10	10
• Adult (A)	05.05.2017	22	12
Classer's Grade assessment			
Yearling (Y)	26.04.2016	10	10
Adult (A)	28.04.2017	22	12
Pre shearing scoring assessment	26.04.2016	10	10
• Yearling (Y)	26.04.2016 28.04.2017	10 22	10 10
Adult (A) Assessment shearing	20.04.2017	22	10
Yearling (Y)	27.04.2016	10	10
<ul> <li>Adult (A)</li> </ul>	05.05.2017	22	10
Post shearing scoring assessment	0010012017		
• Yearling (Y)	28.04.2016	10	0
<ul> <li>Adult (A)</li> </ul>	05.05.2017	22	0
Body weigh assessment			
Weaning (W)	23.10.2015	4	
Post Weaning (PW)	21.01.2016	7	
• Yearling (Y)	26.04.2016	10	
• Hogget (H)	18.10.2016	16	
Adult (A)	28.04.2017	22	
Worm egg count sampling	Little challenge to	date; still to be	
• Yearling (Y)	measur		

Vaccination	Marking, weaning, post shearings, annual booster
Drench	As required based on worm egg counts
Field day or public display of sheep	21.01.2016 (pre 1 <sup>st</sup> stage shearing) 28.04.2017 and 05.05.2017 (during 2 <sup>nd</sup> stage assessment)

#### Visual Trait Assessment and site Breeding Objective

Visual trait assessment <u>1<sup>st</sup> Stage Assessment (Yearling) and 2<sup>nd</sup> Stage Assessment (Adult)</u>

Classer's Grade: Mick Corkhill Pre-Shearing Trait Scores: Mick Corkhill and Ben Patrick Breech Scores: Sally Martin Post Shearing Trait Scores: Sally Martin and Adele Offley

#### Site Breeding Objective used to assess the Classer's Grades – 2<sup>nd</sup> Stage Assessment

The Breeding Objective used to select the Classer's Tops (14%), Flock (60%) and Cull (26%) was based on a visual assessment where the animal performed well for growth (meet minimum body weight suitable for joining), were structurally sound with good wool quality traits including long soft handling wool and fleece weight. (No reference was made to measured performance at the time of classing and was based on the visual presentation of all traits).

#### Within Site Analysis

This report provides information within site on the performance of the progeny of the sires being evaluated. The ASBVs have not been taken into consideration in the within site analysis, however will be used in the across site (MSS) analysis. The information presented is a reflection of one sires performance, not the bloodline.

Publication of results in both Merino Superior Sires (MSS) and MERINOSELECT will be presented as across flock Australian Sheep Breeding Values (ASBV's) and will included additional data collected on farm, at other sire evaluation sites and the Information Nucleus Flock sites (Resource Flock).



Adele Offley, Moses & Son



2015 drop – September 2015



2015 drop – October 2016

#### **Index Options**

A breeding index combines multiple measured traits into a single value that reflects a certain emphasis on these traits. It is important that you use an index that best matches the breeding objective and production system of the flock you are selecting for. It is recommended that the performance of individual measured and visually assessed traits is used in conjunction with an index as selection indexes assist in making balanced selection decisions.

Site Reports present 4 indexes, DP+; MP+; FP+ and WP+. These indexes are the same as MERINOSELECT indexes of that name but account for the fact that direct reproduction records have not been captured by AMSEA sire evaluation. The WP+ index was established by AMSEA and is now available as custom MERINOSELECT index. Below is the percentage contribution that each trait makes to economic gain in a commercial flock that uses an index for sire selection. Additionally, included for each index are the likely within-flock responses from using an index for 10 years. These responses are based on a ram breeding flock with a standard breeding program, no introduction of outside genetics and uses 35% of their selection emphasis on traits that are not in the index (such as visually assessed performance).

#### **Dual Purpose Plus (DP+)**

Based on a meat focused production system where surplus progeny are sold as lambs and a portion of ewes are joined to terminal sires. Large increase in body weight and carcase traits. Moderate increase in fleece weight. Maintain fibre diameter and staple strength. Moderate increase in reproduction.

#### Merino Production Plus (MP+)


Based on a balanced wool and meat production system where surplus progeny are sold as hoggets. Balanced emphasis on increasing fleece weight and reduction in fibre diameter. Moderate increase in body weight, with little change in reproduction.

#### Fibre Production Plus (FP+)

Based on a wool production system where wethers are retained, operating in an environment where worms cause economic losses. Large reduction in fibre diameter. Moderate increase in staple strength. Small reduction in WEC (if measured in the breeding program). Small increase in fleece weight. Little change in body weight and reproduction.

#### Wool Production Plus (WP+)

Based on the MP+ production system with a greater emphasis on increasing fleece weight, while maintaining fibre diameter and a moderate emphasis on increasing body weight.



# Table 1. AMSEA Index values and Classer's Grade

The highest performing 3 sires for each trait (i.e. trait leaders) are highlighted by shading. Each sire is listed for Classer's Grade and the same three indexes at all site evaluations. The index values reported are based on Flock Breeding Values (within flock) measured trait performance with varying emphasis on fleece weight, fibre diameter, body weight, staple strength and worm egg count. See 'Index Options' on page 11 for more information on the indexes presented in the table below. AMSEA Indexes are the same as MERINOSELECT Indexes apart from NLW (Number of Lambs Weaned) which is given a zero FBV value in AMSEA calculations.

				AMSEA Inde	xes values			Classer'	s Grade	
Ram	Breeders flock, Ram number	No	Fibre	Merino	Dual	Wool	Tops %	% (dev)	Culls %	6 (dev)
code		of	Production	Production	Purpose	Production	γ۸	Α^	Y	^
		Progeny	Plus	Plus	Plus	Plus	T.	AA	Ť	Α
1*	Billandri Poll, 121391	36	90	92	100	97	3	3	-1	5
2	Bundilla, 120013	34	112	111	116	106	7	2	-16	-21
3	Centre Plus Poll, 307564	31	119	132	131	129	2	-7	-12	17
<mark>4^</mark>	Centre Plus Poll, 9.183	29	92	95	94	100	-7	-14	25	34
5	Centre Plus WA Poll, 337919	29	120	127	127	123	6	-4	-4	8
6	Hazeldean, 11.3542	30	112	111	88	109	2	2	-5	12
7	Kerin Poll, 130980	45	111	122	116	130	0	-9	-9	29
8	Mumblebone, 130389	40	70	59	86	65	-8	10	14	-18
9	Mumblebone, 130850	38	100	100	111	98	0	19	-5	-23
10	Pastora Poll, 130011	39	91	83	81	83	-1	-6	7	11
11	Pastora Poll, 131634	15	102	98	96	93	-11	-5	11	-17
12	Pooginook Poll, 130083	36	101	107	107	111	-8	-11	1	12
13	Rocklyn, 130022	29	100	98	94	98	6	6	2	-9
14	Roseville Park, 140019	31	94	95	85	94	8	4	-6	-11
15	Wattle Dale, 130115	27	99	91	78	86	-7	4	1	-12
16*	Willandra Poll, 120026	36	86	85	87	88	0	14	-12	-11
17	Woodpark Poll, 130431	31	101	94	105	90	6	-8	8	-7
	Average performance	33	100	100	100	100	11	14	29	26

\*

Λ

Link sire: Sire evaluated to provide links between site evaluations and sites so that the all site results can be combined into a single report, e.g., Merino Superior Sires.

Classer's Grade is expressed as the percentage deviation of average Tops% and Culls%

<sup>2</sup> Y = Yearling (300 to 400 days). H = Hogget (400 to 540 days)

Historical Sire evaluated under AMSEA's R&D project to validate the system of linkage in MERINOSELECT that has operated over the past 15-20 years. These sires were generally widely used 15-20 years ago and were selected for the R&D program based on their high ASBV accuracies.

#### Figure 1a, 1b, 1c and 1d. Combined measured traits and visual trait performance

#### Summary graph: visual and measured performance

Each sire meeting the AMSEA index accuracy threshold assessed at Yearling and Hogget Assessment is located on Figure 1a, 1b and 1c and describes the performance for combined measured traits and combined visual assessment.

A different graph is provided for each of the three production indexes reported. In each graph, the combined measured traits are based on the AMSEA index and the visual trait performance is a combination of Classer's Grade performance (Tops and Culls). More information is found in "Calculation of combined performance" (page 25).

Sires that are above average performers for combined measured traits and Classer's Grade are located in the top right-hand quarter.

Sire			
code	Breeders flock, Sire number	Sheep Genetics ID	Sire of Sire
1*	Billandri Poll, 121391	600571-2012-121391	600571-2010-100011
2	Bundilla, 120013	504081-2012-120013	504081-2010-100027
3	Centre Plus Poll, 307564	601250-2013-307564	601250-2009-907538
<mark>4^</mark>	Centre Plus Poll, 9.183	601250-1989-000183	601250-1985-500279
5	Centre Plus WA Poll, 337919	609182-2013-337919	601250-2010-007257
6	Hazeldean, 003542	500383-2011-003542	601050-2002-020603
7	Kerin Poll, 130980	601413-2013-130980	601244-2007-070304
8	Mumblebone, 130389	500063-2013-130389	601365-2009-090399
9	Mumblebone, 130850	500063-2013-130850	500063-2010-100186
10	Pastora Poll, 130011	601090-2013-130011	
11	Pastora Poll, 131634	601090-2013-131634	601090-2011-113416
12	Pooginook Poll, 130083	601442-2013-130083	600815-2010-101508
13	Rocklyn, 130022	501039-2013-130022	501039-2011-110155
14	Roseville Park, 140019	504166-2014-140019	601050-2009-090853
15	Wattle Dale, 130115	503358-2013-130115	601250-2009-907538
16*	Willandra Poll, 120026	600610-2012-120026	600610-2010-100033 "The GP"
17	Woodpark Poll, 130431	601151-2013-130431	601151-2011-110178

\*

٨

Link sire: Sire evaluated to provide links between years and sites so that the all site results can be combined into a single report, e.g., *Merino Superior Sires*.

**Historical Sire** evaluated under AMSEA's R&D project to validate the system of linkage in MERINOSELECT that has operated over the past 15-20 years. These sires were generally widely used 15-20 years ago and were selected for the R&D program based on their high ASBV accuracies.






Figure 1a is based on an <u>AMSEA Dual</u> <u>Purpose Plus (DP+)</u> <u>index</u> – (Based on a meat focused production system where surplus progeny are sold as lambs and a portion of ewes are joined to terminal sires).




Figure 1b is based on an <u>AMSEA</u> <u>Merino Production</u> <u>Plus (MP+) index</u> – (Based on a balanced wool and meat production system where surplus progeny are sold as hoggets).





Figure 1c is based on an <u>AMSEA Fibre</u> <u>Production Plus</u> (FP+) index (Based on a wool focussed production system where wethers are retained, operating in an environment where worms cause economic losses).



**Wool Production Plus** (WP+) (Based on the MP+ production system with а greater emphasis on increasing fleece weight, while maintaining fibre diameter and а moderate emphasis on increasing body weight).





GSSM 2017 - Champion sale ram sold for the poll top price of \$5000 and second top price poll ram sold for \$4000 to Garry and Trish Hallam, Alton Hill Gunning.

# Annual Ram Sale 9th October 2017 at "Tarengo" Boorowa


# (2006-2016) BLOODLINE COMPARISON

The Grassy Creek bloodline is performing at the **TOP END** of the Merino industry. The comparison shows that Grassy Creek is ranked in the **TOP 10%** for profit \$/dse from 77 high and medium accuracy bloodlines from wether trial results from 2006 to 2016.




Michael and Jane Corkhill - "Dryburgh", Reids Flat NSW Ph/Fax 02 6345 2201 Mobile 0428 272 889 Classer: Craig Wilson WWW.grassycreekmerinos.net.au







**Figure 2a Fleece weight by fibre diameter FBVs (Adult)** – describes performance for clean fleece weight on the side axis and fibre diameter on the bottom axis. Sires that are above average for yearling clean fleece weight and below average yearling fibre diameter are located in the <u>top left-hand quadrant</u>.




**Figure 2b Fleece weight by fibre diameter FBVs (Yearling)** – describes performance for clean fleece weight on the side axis and fibre diameter on the bottom axis. Sires that are above average for yearling clean fleece weight and below average yearling fibre diameter are located in the <u>top left-hand quadrant</u>.




**Figure 3a Classer's Tops by Cull Grade (Adult)** – describes performance for Classer's Tops Grade on the side axis and Cull Grade on the bottom axis. Sires that have above average Tops and below average Culls are in the <u>top left-hand quadrant</u>. Classer's Tops (23%), Flock (47%) and Cull (30%) is based a visual assessment where the progeny performed well for growth, structurally sound with good wool quality traits including long soft handling wool and fleece weight.



**Figure 3b Classer's Tops by Cull Grade (Yearling)** – describes performance for Classer's Tops Grade on the side axis and Cull Grade on the bottom axis. Sires that have above average Tops and below average Culls are in the <u>top left-hand quadrant</u>. Classer's Tops (23%), Flock (47%) and Cull (30%) is based a visual assessment where the progeny performed well for growth, structurally sound with good wool quality traits including long soft handling wool and fleece weight.



**Figure 4a. Fleece weight by body weight FBV's (Adult)** – describes performance for clean fleece weight on the side axis and body weight on the bottom axis. Sires that are above average for yearling clean fleece weight and above average for yearling body weight are located in the <u>top right hand quadrant</u>.



**Figure 4b. Fleece weight by body weight FBV's (Yearling)** – describes performance for clean fleece weight on the side axis and body weight on the bottom axis. Sires that are above average for yearling clean fleece weight and above average for yearling body weight are located in the <u>top right-hand quadrant</u>.




Figure 5. Fleece weight by fat depth (FBV's) – describes the performance for fleece weight on the side axis and fat depth on the bottom axis. Sires that are above average for yearling clean fleece weight and above average for hogget fat depth are located in the <u>top right</u> hand quadrant.




Figure 6. Fleece weight by eye muscle depth (FBV's) – describes performance for clean fleece weight on the side axis and eye muscle depth on the bottom axis. Sires that are above average for clean fleece weight and above average for hogget eye muscle depth are located in the top right hand quadrant.




Figure 7. Body weight by eye muscle depth (FBV's) – describes performance for body weight on the side axis and eye muscle depth on the bottom axis. Sires that are above average for hogget body weight and above average for hogget eye muscle depth are located in the <u>top right hand</u> <u>quadrant.</u>

# Understanding the results – measured trait performance

# Measured trait performance and Classer's Grade – Tables 2 and 3

Sire code:	Allows a sire to be located on the summary graphs and some t	ables.											
Sire name:	Identity of the breeder's flock and the sire's number or name.												
Number of progeny:	The number of progeny a sire had at the most recent measure	The number of progeny a sire had at the most recent measured analysis.											
Horn/Poll:	The Poll test has been developed by the Sheep CRC using measurements and DNA tests on animals in the Information Nucleus Flocks. The test is based on two genetic markers that are very close to the Poll gene. PP = Polled; PH = Half Poll; HH = Horned; blank = test failed												
Flock Breeding Values:	data from this site evaluation is used in the calculation of these sires (in this case based on the performance of their progeny).	BVs) calculated by Sheep Genetics for the sire's evaluated in this report. Only e FBVs. FBVs describe the relative breeding value (genetic performance) of the A sire's progeny will express half of their sire's FBV. FBVs do not necessarily n of both genetic and environmental influences. FBVs are an estimate of the											
<b>Traits:</b> Abbreviation, trait and the (units reported)	GFW:Greasy fleece weight (percentage).CFW:Clean fleece weight (percentage).FD:Average fibre diameter (micron).WT:Body weight (kilograms).FDCV:Fibre diameter coefficient of variation (percentage).SL:Staple length (mm) at the mid-side.	SS:Staple strength (N/ktex) at the mid-side.EMD:Eye muscle depth (mm) at the 'C' site.FAT:Fat depth (mm) at the 'C' site.CURV:Fibre curvature (degrees)WEC:Worm egg count (% deviation in worm burden of sire's progeny)											
Age at assessment:	W = Weaning- 42 to 120 days (6 weeks to 4 monE = Early Post Weaning- 120 to 210 days (4 to 7 months ofP = Post Weaning- 210 to 300 days (7 to 10 months ofY = Yearling- 300 to 400 days (10 to 13 monthsH = Hogget- 400 to 540 days (13 to 18 monthsA = Adult- 540 days or older (18 months and	age) of age) of age) of age)											
Classer's Grade:	A Classer grades all progeny as either, Tops, Flocks or Culls bas	ed on their visual assessment of all traits relative to the site's Breeding and Culls is presented in this report. Average percentage of Tops and Culls for											

# Table 2. Major measured traits and Classer's Grades

			No.		l	Flock Br	eeding	Values	(devia	tions)					(	Classer'	s Grade	I
Ram	Breeders flock, Ram number	Horn	of	GFV	GFW % CFW 9		V %	% FD um		WT kg					Tops % (dev)			s % ev)
code		Poll	prog.	Y <sup>2</sup>	Α	Y	Α	Y	Α	w	Р	Y	н	Α	Y	Α	Y	Α
1*	Billandri Poll, 121391	PP	36	0.0	-2.0	0.0	-2.0	-0.3	-0.2	0.3	-0.2	-0.4	0.1	-1.1	3	3	-1	5
2	Bundilla, 120013	PP	34	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.2	7	2	-16	-21
3	Centre Plus Poll, 307564	PP	31	12.0	5.0	11.0	5.0	-0.3	-0.2	3.1	4.9	6.5	7.1	6.6	2	-7	-12	17
<mark>4^</mark>	Centre Plus Poll, 9.183	-	29	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-0.8	-0.4	-0.1	-0.1	-7	-14	25	34
5	Centre Plus WA Poll, 337919	PH	29	8.0	9.0	6.0	9.0	-0.5	-0.4	1.2	2.8	3.7	3.5	2.8	6	-4	-4	8
6	Hazeldean, 11.3542	PH	30	8.0	5.0	9.0	5.0	-1.1	-1.3	-1.1	-2.3	-3.2	-3.6	-5.0	2	2	-5	12
7	Kerin Poll, 130980	PH	45	16.0	20.0	19.0	22.0	1.1	1.3	0.7	1.7	2.3	1.9	1.2	0	-9	-9	29
8	Mumblebone, 130389	PH	40	-15.0	-10.0	-17.0	-10.0	0.9	1.2	-3.2	-4.3	-4.9	-4.3	-3.2	-8	10	14	-18
9	Mumblebone, 130850	PP	38	-5.0	-5.0	-6.0	-6.0	0.5	0.4	1.1	2.5	3.3	3.5	3.9	0	19	-5	-23
10	Pastora Poll, 130011	PP	39	-5.0	-6.0	-4.0	-6.0	-0.7	-0.9	-0.9	-2.3	-3.7	-4.6	-4.7	-1	-6	7	11
11	Pastora Poll, 131634	PP	15	-7.0	-8.0	-7.0	-8.0	-0.8	-1.1	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.5	1.2	-11	-5	11	-17
12	Pooginook Poll, 130083	PH	36	7.0	5.0	9.0	6.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.4	1.8	1.7	-8	-11	1	12
13	Rocklyn, 130022	HH	29	-1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.3	-0.1	-0.8	-1.1	-1.5	-0.9	-0.9	6	6	2	-9
14	Roseville Park, 140019	PP	31	-1.0	-3.0	0.0	-3.0	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.4	-0.4	-1.2	-1.0	8	4	-6	-11
15	Wattle Dale, 130115	PH	27	-9.0	-8.0	-8.0	-9.0	-0.9	-1.0	-0.5	-1.1	-1.3	-2.2	-0.6	-7	4	1	-12
16*	Willandra Poll, 120026	PP	36	-6.0	-5.0	-4.0	-4.0	0.5	0.6	-0.3	-1.2	-1.8	-1.9	-2.5	0	14	-12	-11
17	Woodpark Poll, 130431	PH	31	-8.0	-4.0	-12.0	-6.0	-0.8	-0.8	-1.1	-1.0	-0.8	0.0	0.8	6	-8	8	-7

\* Link sire: Sire evaluated to provide links between site evaluations and sites so that the all site results can be combined into a single report, e.g., Merino Superior Sires.

<sup>1</sup> Classer's Grade is expressed as the percentage deviation of average Tops% and Culls%

<sup>2</sup> Y = Yearling (300 to 400 days). H = Hogget (400 to 540 days)

Λ

Historical Sire evaluated under AMSEA's R&D project to validate the system of linkage in MERINOSELECT that has operated over the past 15-20 years. These sires were generally widely used 15-20 years ago and were selected for the R&D program based on their high ASBV accuracies.

Information on how to use the results in the table above can be found on page 17.

# Table 3. Other measured traits

		No.					Floc	k Breeding	; Values (dev	iations)			
Ram	Breeders flock, Ram number	of	FDC	FDCV % SL m		mm	SS N	/ktex	Curv d	eg/mm	Fat mm	EMD mm	WEC%
code		prog.	Y <sup>2</sup>	Α	Υ	Α	Y	Α	Y	Α	н	Н	Y
1*	Billandri Poll, 121391	36	0.6	0.5	-0.6	0.6	-5.5	-5.4	4.1	3.2	1.6	1.3	
2	Bundilla, 120013	34	-1.1	-1.4	2.6	3.4	5.5	7.8	-0.8	-0.7	-0.2	0.7	
3	Centre Plus Poll, 307564	31	-1.2	-1.9	3.3	4.4	-0.1	1.7	0.3	0.6	-0.2	-0.3	
<mark>4^</mark>	Centre Plus Poll, 9.183	29	0.3	0.2	-7.3	-6.3	-0.8	-1.0	4.6	4.1	0.2	0.0	Tra
5	Centre Plus WA Poll, 337919	29	1.1	0.9	1.7	3.9	0.3	2.5	-1.0	-2.5	-1.2	-0.3	it no
6	Hazeldean, 11.3542	30	1.4	1.6	-5.8	-8.8	-1.9	-3.7	-0.8	-0.5	-0.6	-1.3	ot m
7	Kerin Poll, 130980	45	0.3	1.1	9.4	9.7	2.5	-0.6	-8.2	-10.8	-0.2	-0.6	Trait not measured
8	Mumblebone, 130389	40	-1.2	-0.8	6.8	8.4	-0.5	-0.9	-4.0	-4.2	1.4	3.0	urec
9	Mumblebone, 130850	38	-1.9	-1.7	9.2	9.1	3.3	3.7	-2.1	-1.9	0.6	0.4	
10	Pastora Poll, 130011	39	1.0	1.2	-3.8	-4.4	-2.8	-5.5	3.9	4.4	-0.4	0.0	at time
11	Pastora Poll, 131634	15	0.4	0.0	-2.9	-3.7	-2.0	-0.4	5.6	7.1	-0.6	-0.9	
12	Pooginook Poll, 130083	36	-0.4	-0.2	12.1	10.8	3.3	0.7	-9.5	-8.8	0.5	0.3	dnd
13	Rocklyn, 130022	29	-0.1	0.6	2.7	0.8	1.7	-0.7	-3.5	-2.8	0.4	0.0	of publication
14	Roseville Park, 140019	31	0.0	-0.3	-1.1	-0.4	1.5	2.9	-2.5	-3.0	-0.5	-1.4	tion
15	Wattle Dale, 130115	27	0.1	-0.4	-8.2	-7.9	-1.8	-0.2	7.7	8.5	-0.9	-2.3	
16*	Willandra Poll, 120026	36	0.4	0.7	-8.9	-11.1	0.5	-0.9	0.8	1.2	0.4	0.5	
17	Woodpark Poll, 130431	31	0.4	0.0	-9.2	-8.5	-3.1	0.2	5.7	6.0	-0.4	1.0	

<sup>2</sup> W = Weaning (42 to 120 days); P = Post Weaning (120 to 300 days); Y = Yearling (300 to 400 days); H = Hogget (400 to 540 days); A = Adult (540 days and older).

Historical Sire evaluated under AMSEA's R&D project to validate the system of linkage in MERINOSELECT that has operated over the past 15-20 years. These sires were generally widely used 15-20 years ago and were selected for the R&D program based on their high ASBV accuracies.

Information on how to use the results in the table above can be found on page 17.

# Understanding the results – scored performance traits

**Visual trait performance** – Tables 4a, 4b, 4c and 4d – pages 21 to 24. The following description of trait scores is a summary of the detailed word and diagrammatical description of these scores in the Visual Sheep Scores booklet (free on application to AWI or downloadable at <u>www.merinosuperiorsire.com.au</u> A deviation from the average trait score for all progeny is reported as well as the percentage of the sire's progeny recorded for each trait.

■ Fleece rot:	The severity of fleece rot from 1 (no fleece rot), 2 and 3 (bands of bacterial staining but no crusting), and 4 and 5 (bands of crusty fleece rot).
Wool colour:	Greasy wool colour scored from 1 (whitest) to 5 (yellow).
Wool character:	Definition and variation of crimp between and along the staple scored from 1 (well defined and regular) to 5 (undefined and large variation).
<ul> <li>Dust penetration:</li> </ul>	Degree of dust penetration from <b>1</b> (only tip <5%) to <b>5</b> (80 to 100% of staple).
Staple weathering:	The deterioration due to light and water from 1 (least, <5% of staple) to 5 (most, 30 to 50%) reflect the depth and degree of deterioration.
Staple structure:	The size and diameter of each staple from <b>1</b> (<5mm) to <b>5</b> (30 to 50 mm)
Face cover:	Wool cover on the face scored from <b>1</b> (open face) to <b>5</b> (fully covered face).
Feet/Legs:	Conformation of feet and legs scored from 1 (very good) to 5 (very poor).
Body wrinkle:	The degree of body wrinkle from <b>1</b> (no wrinkle) to <b>5</b> (extensive wrinkle).
■ Jaw:	Under- or over-shot lower jaw (and teeth) relative to the top jaw. Three scores: 1 (very well aligned), 3 (marginally under or over) and 5 (heavily under or over).
Back/Shoulder:	Conformation of the back and shoulder from <b>1</b> (very good) to <b>5</b> (very poor).
■ Fibre pigmentation:	The percentage of dark fibres on any part of the sheep from 1 (0 pigmented fibres at any site) to 5 (76 to 100% pigmented fibres at one or more sites). This trait does not include random spot or recessive black.
<ul> <li>Non-fibre pigmentation:</li> </ul>	The percentage of pigmentation on the areas not shorn from 1 (0 pigmentation at any site) to 5 (76 to 100% pigmented area on one or more bare skin sites, and/or 76 to 100% of the total hoof area).
Recessive black:	Recessive black (black) is identified by relatively symmetrical markings on both sides of the face. There are two scores 1 (no recessive markings) and 5
(black)	(recessive markings). This trait does not include random spot or fibre pigmentation.
Random spot:	Random spot (spot) is identified by rounded wool or hair spot/s, not symmetrical.
(spot)	There are two scores 1 (no spot/s) and 5 (spot/s). If both sides of the face or body are spotted the sheep should be scored as a recessive black.
<ul> <li>Breech cover</li> </ul>	Size of natural bare area around the breech from 1 (large) to 5 (no bare).
<ul> <li>Crutch cover</li> </ul>	Size of natural bare area in the pubic and groin region from 1 (large) to 5 (no bare).
<ul> <li>Breech wrinkle</li> </ul>	Degree of wrinkle at the tail set and kind legs from 1 (nil) to 5 (extensive).
Dag	Degree of dag adhering to the breech and legs from 1 (nil) to 5 (extensive).
■ Urine	Degree of urine stained wool in the breech area, including the hind legs from 1 (nil) to 5 (extensive).

## Table 4a. Visual trait assessments – Wool quality

Traits are reported as a deviation (Dev) from the average trait score for all progeny. The percentage of a sire's progeny assessed for each score is also reported. No adjustments are made to the data to improve the accuracy of the results as is the case with sire means and breeding values. For the majority of breeder's objectives, a negative deviation would be considered favourable and the larger the deviation the better.

											W	ool (	Quality											
Breeders flock, Ram number		Fle	eece F	Rot				W	/ool C	olour				Wo	ol Ch	aracte	er			Dus	t Pen	etrati	on	
	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5
Billandri Poll, 121391	-0.3	86	6	8	0	0	0.0	0	36	61	3	0	0.1	0	33	61	6	0	-0.2	0	42	47	11	0
Bundilla, 120013	-0.3	85	15	0	0	0	-0.1	0	42	58	0	0	0.0	3	33	55	9	0	0.0	0	15	85	0	0
Centre Plus Poll, 307564	0.3	50	27	17	3	3	0.3	0	17	77	6	0	-0.1	0	47	50	3	0	0.3	0	13	60	27	0
Centre Plus Poll, 9.183	0.5	43	32	14	7	4	0.4	0	18	57	25	0	0.2	0	25	61	14	0	0.0	0	21	68	11	0
Centre Plus WA Poll, 337919	0.2	63	22	4	7	4	0.2	0	19	74	7	0	0.0	0	44	48	8	0	0.1	0	26	56	18	0
Hazeldean, 11.3542	0.2	59	17	17	7	0	-0.3	0	69	31	0	0	-0.1	0	52	41	7	0	-0.3	0	55	34	11	0
Kerin Poll, 130980	0.6	53	11	20	7	9	0.3	0	16	76	7	1	0.2	0	27	64	9	0	0.3	0	7	69	24	0
Mumblebone, 130389	-0.4	91	6	3	0	0	-0.3	0	62	38	0	0	0.0	0	32	65	3	0	0.1	0	21	62	17	0
Mumblebone, 130850	-0.3	91	3	3	3	0	-0.1	0	50	47	3	0	0.0	0	41	53	6	0	0.3	0	12	56	32	0
Pastora Poll, 130011	0.1	71	16	2	8	3	-0.3	0	71	26	3	0	0.3	0	16	74	10	0	-0.2	0	47	42	11	0
Pastora Poll, 131634	-0.3	85	7	8	0	0	-0.1	0	46	54	0	0	0.0	0	38	62	0	0	-0.1	0	23	77	0	0
Pooginook Poll, 130083	0.2	59	24	6	9	2	0.5	0	3	85	9	3	0.2	0	26	65	9	0	0.5	0	6	59	32	3
Rocklyn, 130022	-0.1	76	17	4	0	3	-0.2	0	59	41	0	0	-0.1	0	45	52	3	0	0.0	0	24	62	14	0
Roseville Park, 140019	-0.2	71	25	4	0	0	0.0	0	36	64	0	0	-0.2	0	57	39	4	0	-0.1	0	32	61	7	0
Wattle Dale, 130115	0.0	75	12	5	4	4	-0.2	8	42	46	4	0	-0.1	0	50	42	8	0	-0.2	0	42	50	8	0
Willandra Poll, 120026	-0.1	78	9	6	4	3	-0.1	0	50	47	0	3	-0.1	3	47	47	0	3	-0.1	0	38	47	12	3
Woodpark Poll, 130431	-0.2	73	20	7	0	0	0.0	0	37	63	0	0	-0.2	0	50	50	0	0	-0.3	0	53	40	7	0
Avg.	1.5	71	16	7	3	3	2.6	0	39	56	5	0	2.7	0	39	55	6	0	2.9	0	28	57	15	0

Historical Sire evaluated under AMSEA's R&D project to validate the system of linkage in MERINOSELECT that has operated over the past 15-20 years. These sires were generally widely used 15-20 years ago and were selected for the R&D program based on their high ASBV accuracies.

Information on how to use the results in the table above can be found on page 20.

۸

# Table 4b. Visual trait assessment – Wool quality and pigmentation

For the majority of breeder's objectives, a negative deviation for wool quality traits would be considered favourable and the larger the deviation the better. Staple Structure is the possible exception. Traits are reported as a deviation (Dev) from the average trait score for all progeny. The percentage of a sire's progeny assessed for each score is also reported. For the majority of breeder's objectives, a negative deviation would be considered favourable and the larger the deviation the better. Four pigmentation traits are reported as described on page 20. These are Fibre pigmentation, Non-fibre pigmentation, Recessive "black" and Random "spot". Fibre pigmentation and Non-fibre pigmentation are scored **1** to **5** however recessive black and random spot are scored **1** (no pigmentation of this type) or **5** (when the trait is expressed). Only the percentage scored **5** are reported for recessive black and random spot.

					Wo	ol C	uality												Pigm	nenta	tion					
Breeders flock, Ram number	S	Stap	le We	eather	ring		:	Stapl	e Str	uctur	re		Fik	ore pig	gme	ntat	tion		No	n-fibr	re pig	men	tatio	n	Black	Spot
number	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	5	5
Billandri Poll, 121391	-0.2	0	33	56	11	0	0.2	19	72	9	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.3	33	41	10	13	3	0	0
Bundilla, 120013	-0.1	0	12	82	6	0	-0.1	42	52	6	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.3	60	31	9	0	0	0	0
Centre Plus Poll, 307564	0.2	0	10	60	27	3	-0.2	50	50	0	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.6	19	42	26	10	3	0	3
Centre Plus Poll, 9.183	0.3	0	0	75	25	0	0.0	43	46	11	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.0	26	65	9	0	0	0	0
CP WA Poll, 337919	0.0	0	11	74	15	0	-0.3	59	37	4	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.3	56	41	0	3	0	0	0
Hazeldean, 11.3542	-0.3	0	41	45	14	0	0.1	31	55	14	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.3	65	29	3	3	0	0	0
Kerin Poll, 130980	0.2	0	9	64	24	3	0.1	36	44	20	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.4	60	36	4	0	0	0	0
Mumblebone, 130389	0.0	0	21	62	17	0	0.0	35	59	6	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.1	43	26	29	2	0	0	0
Mumblebone, 130850	0.2	0	15	56	26	3	-0.3	62	32	6	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.0	32	57	8	3	0	0	0
Pastora Poll, 130011	-0.1	0	32	53	15	0	0.1	21	76	3	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.3	57	35	8	0	0	0	0
Pastora Poll, 131634	0.0	0	0	100	0	0	0.4	0	92	8	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.2	38	31	25	6	0	0	6
Pooginook Poll, 130083	0.3	0	6	56	38	0	-0.1	41	56	3	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.1	24	61	15	0	0	0	0
Rocklyn, 130022	0.1	0	14	62	24	0	-0.2	48	48	4	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.6	4	61	32	3	0	0	0
Roseville Park, 140019	-0.2	0	32	61	7	0	0.0	39	54	7	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.1	39	42	13	3	3	0	0
Wattle Dale, 130115	-0.1	0	21	67	12	0	0.3	16	67	17	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.2	32	45	16	7	0	0	0
Willandra Poll, 120026	0.0	0	22	59	16	3	-0.1	47	41	12	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.2	53	37	10	0	0	0	0
Woodpark Poll, 130431	-0.4	0	47	47	6	0	0.1	33	47	20	0	0	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.3	53	41	6	0	0	0	0
Avg.	3.0	0	19	63	17	1	1.7	37	55	8	0	0	1.0	100	0	0	0	0	1.8	41	42	13	3	1	0	0

Historical Sire evaluated under AMSEA's R&D project to validate the system of linkage in MERINOSELECT that has operated over the past 15-20 years. These sires were generally widely used 15-20 years ago and were selected for the R&D program based on their high ASBV accuracies. Information on how to use the results in the table above can be found on page 20.

Λ

## Table 4c. Visual trait assessments – Conformation

Traits are reported as a deviation (Dev) from the average trait score for all progeny. The percentage of a sire's progeny assessed for each score is also reported. No adjustments are made to the data to improve the accuracy of the results as is the case with sire means or breeding values. For the majority of breeder's objectives, a negative deviation would be considered favorable and the larger the deviation the better. Face cover is the possible exceptions when for many breeders the optimum score is in the middle of the range.

														Со	nfoi	rmati	on													
Breeders flock, Ram number			Jaw					Legs	and	d Fee	t		S	hould	ler a	nd B	ack			F	ace C	over				Во	dy W	rinkle	5	
	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5
Billandri Poll, 121391	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.0	56	0	44	0	0	-0.3	89	0	11	0	0	0.0	0	3	97	0	0	0.0	14	47	39	0	0
Bundilla, 120013	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.2	64	0	36	0	0	-0.2	88	0	12	0	0	-0.1	3	9	88	0	0	-0.1	9	70	18	3	0
Centre Plus Poll, 307564	0.1	97	0	3	0	0	0.0	53	0	47	0	0	0.2	70	0	23	0	7	-0.2	0	27	73	0	0	0.0	13	53	30	4	0
Centre Plus Poll, 9.183	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.5	32	0	68	0	0	0.3	64	0	32	0	4	0.0	0	4	96	0	0	0.2	7	50	39	4	0
Centre Plus WA Poll, 337919	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.1	59	0	41	0	0	0.1	70	0	30	0	0	0.0	0	11	89	0	0	0.2	4	59	26	11	0
Hazeldean, 11.3542	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.0	59	0	38	0	3	0.3	66	0	28	0	6	0.0	0	3	97	0	0	0.5	4	32	50	14	0
Kerin Poll, 130980	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.4	38	0	62	0	0	0.4	60	0	33	0	7	0.1	0	2	98	0	0	-0.1	20	47	31	2	0
Mumblebone, 130389	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.3	71	0	29	0	0	-0.4	97	0	3	0	0	0.0	0	3	97	0	0	-0.5	32	65	3	0	0
Mumblebone, 130850	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.1	58	0	42	0	0	-0.2	83	0	17	0	0	-0.2	0	25	75	0	0	-0.7	50	50	0	0	0
Pastora Poll, 130011	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.1	55	0	42	0	3	0.1	74	0	21	0	5	0.0	0	5	95	0	0	0.3	5	42	50	3	0
Pastora Poll, 131634	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.3	69	0	31	0	0	-0.2	85	0	15	0	0	0.0	0	8	92	0	0	0.2	8	46	46	0	0
Pooginook Poll, 130083	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.1	59	0	41	0	0	0.3	68	0	24	0	8	0.1	0	0	100	0	0	-0.2	21	65	12	2	0
Rocklyn, 130022	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.3	45	0	52	0	3	-0.2	86	0	14	0	0	0.1	0	0	100	0	0	-0.2	21	62	17	0	0
Roseville Park, 140019	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.4	75	0	25	0	0	-0.3	93	0	7	0	0	0.0	0	4	96	0	0	0.0	15	52	30	3	0
Wattle Dale, 130115	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.0	54	0	46	0	0	0.3	62	0	38	0	0	0.0	0	8	92	0	0	0.2	4	54	42	0	0
Willandra Poll, 120026	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	0.2	47	0	53	0	0	-0.3	91	0	9	0	0	0.0	0	6	94	0	0	0.1	12	53	25	10	0
Woodpark Poll, 130431	0.0	100	0	0	0	0	-0.2	65	0	35	0	0	-0.1	81	0	19	0	0	0.1	0	0	100	0	0	0.0	16	55	23	6	0
Avg.	1.0	100	0	0	0	0	1.9	56	0	43	0	1	1.5	78	0	20	0	2	2.9	0	7	93	0	0	2.2	15	53	28	4	0

Historical Sire evaluated under AMSEA's R&D project to validate the system of linkage in MERINOSELECT that has operated over the past 15-20 years. These sires were generally widely used 15-20 years ago and were selected for the R&D program based on their high ASBV accuracies. Information on how to use the results in the table above can be found on page 20.

۸

## Table 4d. Visual trait assessments – Breech

Traits are reported as a deviation (Dev) from the average trait score for all progeny. The percentage of a sire's progeny assessed for each score is also reported. No adjustments are made to the data to improve the accuracy of the results as is the case with sire means or breeding values. For the majority of breeder's objectives, a negative deviation would be considered favorable and the larger the deviation the better. Breech scores were recorded at lamb marking.

									I	Breec	h trai	ts												
Breeders flock, Ram number	Γ	<b>Aarki</b> ı	ng Bre	ech C	Cover		Ma	arking	Bree	ch Wr	inkle		P	Marki	ng Cru	utch C	over			Year	ling	Dag	5	
	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5	Dev	1	2	3	4	5
Billandri Poll, 121391	-0.4	23	33	18	23	3	0.0	10	51	26	13	0	-0.4	23	33	18	23	3			ĭ			
Bundilla, 120013	0.0	11	26	37	17	9	0.1	9	43	43	5	0	0.0	11	26	37	17	9			Yearling			
Centre Plus Poll, 307564	-0.5	32	26	23	16	3	0.4	0	42	45	10	3	-0.5	32	26	23	16	3						
Centre Plus Poll, 9.183	-0.3	11	44	24	21	0	0.2	9	35	41	15	0	-0.3	11	44	24	21	0			dag			
CP WA Poll, 337919	-0.2	12	41	19	25	3	0.3	3	38	53	3	3	-0.2	12	41	19	25	3			was			
Hazeldean, 11.3542	0.0	13	23	35	23	6	0.4	4	42	35	16	3	0.0	13	23	35	23	6			not			
Kerin Poll, 130980	0.3	4	22	31	36	7	0.1	13	40	33	11	3	0.3	4	22	31	36	7		ł	t score			
Mumblebone, 130389	0.1	14	17	33	29	7	-0.4	29	50	19	2	0	0.1	14	17	33	29	7			- o			
Mumblebone, 130850	-0.1	18	30	15	32	5	-0.7	40	50	10	0	0	-0.1	18	30	15	32	5		-	at at			
Pastora Poll, 130011	0.5	3	20	30	35	12	0.0	18	40	32	8	2	0.5	3	20	30	35	12		i i	at classin rutched			
Pastora Poll, 131634	0.0	25	25	19	6	25	-0.4	38	31	31	0	0	0.0	25	25	19	6	25		2	d sing			
Pooginook Poll, 130083	0.2	13	16	32	34	5	-0.4	23	53	24	0	0	0.2	13	16	32	34	5			as t			
Rocklyn, 130022	-0.2	23	26	23	26	2	-0.2	13	61	23	3	0	-0.2	23	26	23	26	2			the			
Roseville Park, 140019	0.1	16	19	26	35	4	0.1	13	29	58	0	0	0.1	16	19	26	35	4			prog			
Wattle Dale, 130115	0.0	6	26	45	19	4	0.5	4	32	42	16	6	0.0	6	26	45	19	4			progeny			
Willandra Poll, 120026	0.1	5	32	29	29	5	0.2	13	37	32	16	2	0.1	5	32	29	29	5			/ had			
Woodpark Poll, 130431	0.3	10	19	28	31	12	-0.2	12	59	25	4	0	0.3	10	19	28	31	12			d			
Avg.	2.8	14	26	27	26	7	2.4	15	43	34	7	1	2.8	14	26	27	26	7						

A Historical Sire evaluated under AMSEA's R&D project to validate the system of linkage in MERINOSELECT that has operated over the past 15-20 years. These sires were generally widely used 15-20 years ago and were selected for the R&D program based on their high ASBV accuracies.

Information on how to use the results in the table above can be found on page 20.

# Table 5. Sire means for measured traits

Sire means are the average performance of all the progeny of a sire adjusted for all available information on sex, birth type, rear type, age of dam, age of measurement and management group, in order to improve the accuracy. No account is made for trait heritability and genetic correlations between traits that can improve the breeding value accuracy, as is the case in Table 1. The highest performing sires for each trait (trait leaders) are highlighted by shading. Curvature is the possible exception when for many breeders the optimum score is in the middle of the range therefore trait leaders have not been highlighted. The **Progeny group average** listed at the bottom of the table is the actual mean of the progeny group.

	No.								Ran	n averag	es for n	neasure	d traits (	deviatio	ns)							
Ram code	of	GF\	N %	CF\	N %	FD	um			WT kg			Fat mm	EMD mm	FDC	:V %		urv /mm	SL	mm	SS N	/ktex
	pro g.	Υ^	Α	Y	Α	Y	Α	w	Ρ	Y	Н	Α	Н	Н	Y	Α	Y	Α	Y	Α	Y	Α
1*	36	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	0.8	0.1	-1.0	-0.2	-1.3	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.4	4.3	1.1	-0.5	0.7	-5.1	-4.6
2	34	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	-0.6	-0.9	-0.3	-0.7	2.2	2.1	3.4	7.7
3	31	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	-0.2	-0.2	1.8	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.9	0.0	-0.2	-0.6	-1.8	-0.1	-0.1	2.0	3.1	-0.7	1.1
<mark>4^</mark>	29	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.6	-0.3	-0.9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	3.3	2.5	-6.1	-4.3	-0.6	-2.2
5	29	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.2	-0.4	-0.2	0.2	2.5	2.8	2.2	0.7	-0.2	0.0	0.8	0.5	-0.5	-2.2	1.2	3.1	0.5	5.0
6	30	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	-0.7	-0.8	-0.3	-1.8	-1.9	-1.5	-3.4	-0.1	-0.7	0.7	1.2	0.2	-1.0	-3.6	-6.6	-0.2	-3.1
7	45	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.3	1.6	1.1	1.0	0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.1	0.9	-4.4	-5.7	6.7	5.5	3.5	-1.8
8	40	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	0.5	0.8	-2.3	-3.1	-3.4	-2.9	-0.9	0.2	1.9	-1.0	-0.3	-3.1	-2.4	4.7	5.6	-1.6	-1.2
9	38	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	1.8	1.7	1.9	2.0	0.1	0.2	-1.2	-1.0	-2.2	-0.5	6.7	6.0	2.1	2.9
10	39	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.1	-0.3	-0.6	0.1	-1.2	-2.2	-3.2	-4.1	-0.1	0.1	0.5	0.8	2.9	3.1	-2.7	-1.8	-0.1	-5.9
11	15	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.2	-0.5	-0.8	-0.1	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.8	-0.1	-0.8	0.6	0.0	3.3	5.9	-1.3	-1.9	-2.6	1.0
12	36	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.4	1.0	1.5	1.6	0.1	0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-6.6	-5.0	8.5	6.6	4.7	-1.5
13	29	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	-0.1	-0.5	-0.9	-1.5	0.2	-0.3	0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.7	-3.0	-1.0	2.2	0.6	2.6	-1.2
14	31	0.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.4	0.6	0.4	-0.2	-0.3	-0.7	-0.1	-1.0	0.0	-0.2	-1.8	-2.4	-1.6	0.0	0.5	3.5
15	27	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.5	-0.6	-0.3	-1.4	0.0	-1.7	0.4	-0.1	-1.7	0.2	-0.4	4.6	5.5	-5.8	-4.4	-2.4	-0.1
16*	36	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.1	0.3	0.6	0.3	-1.0	-0.7	-0.6	-2.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.4	-0.2	0.2	-6.3	-7.6	1.0	-1.7
17	31	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.4	-0.6	-1.4	-1.0	-0.3	-0.6	1.2	-0.1	0.8	0.4	-0.2	3.4	2.6	-6.2	-6.6	-5.1	2.0
Avg.	33	3.0	5.1	2.0	3.4	16.4	17.3	24.9	33.2	37.0	46.0	51.9	2.3	21.8	18.8	19.5	90.5	90.0	78.9	96.8	44.7	39.1
		kg	kg	kg	kg	um	um	kg	kg	kg	kg	kg	mm	mm	%	%	deg/mm	deg/mm	mm	mm	n/ktex	n/ktex

 $^{2}$  W = Weaning (42 to 120 days); P = Post Weaning (120 to 300 days); Y = Yearling (300 to 400 days); H = Hogget (400 to 540 days); A = Adult (540 days and older).

Historical Sire evaluated under AMSEA's R&D project to validate the system of linkage in MERINOSELECT that has operated over the past 15-20 years. These sires were generally widely used 15-20 years ago and were selected for the R&D program based on their high ASBV accuracies.

۸

#### Understanding the results

#### **Accuracy of Flock Breeding Values**

Flock Breeding Values (FBVs) are reported by Sheep Genetics (SG). FBVs express the expected performance of progeny of a sire relative to another sire in the evaluation when mated to the same standard of ewes. FBVs improve the accuracy of sire results because they account for the association between traits, adjustment for birth effects and the number of progeny a sire has in the analysis.

*True* Breeding Values would be achieved if the number of progeny evaluated for each sire were infinite. Because the number of progeny in the evaluation is not infinite, performance shown in this report is described as *Flock* Breeding Values.

Without progeny test information the correlation between the *Flock* and *True* Breeding Value of sires from different sources would be zero (0.0%). The correlation between *Flock* and *True* Breeding Value improves rapidly from 0.0% with no progeny to 77% with 10 progeny. The rate of improvement in correlation slows from 86% with 20 progeny, to 90% with 30 progeny and 92% with 40 progeny. With an infinite population the correlation is 100%. Note that the correlation used in the above example is for a trait such as fibre diameter with a high heritability (0.5).

A heritability of 0.5 indicates that half or 50% of the measured performance is passed onto offspring. A heritability of 0.35 indicates 35% is passed on. The FBVs that are shown in this report have already accounted for heritability and therefore describe the performance that can be expected from a sire's progeny.

#### Link sires

Link sires provide the 'genetic link' between CTSE sites located across Australia to allow all sires entered in these site evaluations to have their performance reported relative to each other in *Merino Superior Sires*. *Merino Superior Sires* reports sires from across all effectively linked CTSE sites and across all evaluations at these sites. Link sires are therefore a vital component of the Central Test Sire Evaluation.

To be used as a link a sire must have at least 25 progeny assessed at 1st Assessment at one accredited site. Site reports provide valuable information not reported in *Merino Superior Sires* however *Merino Superior Sires* reports the performance of a large number of sires which can provide a wider perspective of the elite sires available across many flocks in Australia and New Zealand.

#### <u>Calculation – combined measured traits and combined visual trait</u> <u>performance</u>

Combined measured trait performance is calculated as Index – 100. Three different index options are provided to cater for breeders' different breeding objectives.

Combined visual trait performance is calculated as:

(Classer's Visual Grade Tops% - Culls%)/5, expressed as a deviation from the (average Tops% - average Culls%)/5

#### Example

Sires Performance:	AMSEA DP+ Index Value = 119.7 Tops % = 25.5 (average Tops% = 25.1) Culls% = 17.6 (average Culls% = 16.4)
Combined Measured Combined Visual	= 119.7 – 100 = 19.7 = ((25.5 -17.6)/5) – ((25.1 – 16.4)/5) = 7.9/5 – 8.7/5 = 1.58 – 1.74 = -0.1

This sire evaluation site is run

under the auspices of the

Australian Merino Sire Evaluation Association

